

Appendix D
Score and LRTP Strategy by Roadway

1999 Rank	1998 Rank	Segment	From	To	Existing Volume/MAV	Future (E+C) Volume/MAV	Existing Volume	Intermodal/Economic	Safety	Hurricane	Prior Funding	Total	LRTP Strategy
FIHS ROADWAYS													
11	10	I-95	Indian River County	Malabar (SR 514)	39.8	28.1	7.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	75.4	
12	12	I-95	Malabar (SR 514)	Palm Bay	33.3	30.7	10.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	74.0	Widen to 6 lanes
6	5	I-95	Palm Bay	US 192	42.7	35.7	10.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	88.3	Widen to 6 lanes
7	3	I-95	US 192	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	35.8	36.8	10.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	82.7	Widen to 6 lanes
4	1	I-95	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	Wickham	43.9	38.5	10.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	92.4	Widen to 6 lanes
1	2	I-95	Wickham	Fiske	46.1	39.4	10.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	95.5	Widen to 6 lanes
3	4	I-95	Fiske	SR 520	47.3	35.7	10.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	93.0	Widen to 6 lanes
8	9	I-95	SR 520	SR 524	35.8	34.6	10.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	80.4	Widen to 6 lanes
10	13	I-95	SR 524	SR 528	36.2	31.7	10.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	78.0	Widen to 6 lanes
2	6	I-95	SR 528	SR 407	40.9	45.8	7.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	94.2	Widen to 6 lanes
5	7	I-95	SR 407	SR 50	40.9	42.6	7.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	91.0	Widen to 6 lanes
18	17	I-95	SR 50	SR 406	23.0	26.7	5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	54.7	
16	19	I-95	SR 406	SR 46	26.0	24.7	7.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	58.2	
13	14	I-95	SR 46	Stuck Way	33.1	29.7	5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	67.7	
15	20	I-95	Stuck Way	Volusia County	27.9	26.4	5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	59.2	
14	11	SR 528	Orange County	SR 407	34.2	24.1	5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	63.3	
19	15	SR 528	SR 407	I-95	27.5	19.7	5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	52.2	
21	21	SR 528	I-95	Clearlake Rd	17.0	13.8	2.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	33.4	TCM Strategies
20	18	SR 528	Clearlake Rd	US 1	22.4	17.6	5.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	45.0	TCM Strategies
9	8	SR 528	US 1	N Courtenay	43.9	24.2	10.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	78.1	TCM Strategies
17	16	SR 528	N. Courtenay	SR 401	31.7	16.1	7.5	NA	NA	NA	NA	55.3	TCM Strategies
NON-FIHS ROADWAYS													
196	188	Adamson/Coconut/Pine	SR 524	Citrus	14.3	16.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.5	
211	197	Airport	US 192	Hibiscus	11.9	14.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.3	
185	203	Airport	Hibiscus	NASA	13.3	13.2	2.5	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	34.0	
153	201	Airport	NASA	Apollo	15.3	17.3	2.5	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	40.2	
147	142	Apollo	Babcock	Sarno	25.2	13.6	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.8	
155	161	Audobon/Sykes Creek	Merritt	N. Banana River	27.2	9.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.8	
171	171	Aurora	John Rodes	Wickham	23.3	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.6	
170	169	Aurora	Wickham	Croton	14.9	9.3	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	36.7	
195	191	Aurora	Aurora	US 1	14.1	4.0	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	30.6	
178	159	Babcock	Babcock	Indian River County	8.8	21.4	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.2	
64	77	Babcock	Babcock	Valkaria	29.9	24.7	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	67.1	Widen to 4 lanes
21	14	Babcock	Malabar (SR 514)	Malabar (SR 514)	33.9	30.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	84.8	Widen to 6 lanes
24	23	Babcock	Palm Bay	US 192	37.1	24.0	7.5	5.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	83.6	Widen to 6 lanes
43	39	Babcock	US 192	Fee	32.1	17.1	5.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	74.2	TCM Strategies
44	65	Babcock	Fee	NASA	30.6	18.5	5.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	74.1	TCM Strategies
76	73	Babcock	NASA	Apollo	25.6	18.4	5.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	64.0	
202	219	Bama	SR 405	SR 50	12.2	11.8	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	28.9	
175	173	Bama	Knox McRea	Country Club	20.5	15.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.8	

Appendix D
Score and LRTP Strategy by Roadway

1999 Rank	1998 Rank	Segment	From	To	Existing Volume/MAV	Future (E+C) Volume/MAV	Existing Volume	Intermodal/Economic	Safety	Hurricane	Prior Funding	Total	LRTP Strategy
158	158	Barna	Country Club	Harrison	23.7	14.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.3	
119	116	Barnes	Fiske	Murrell	28.3	22.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.8	TCM Strategies
167	176	Barnes	Murrell	US 1	20.6	16.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.9	TCM Strategies
131	128	Barton	Fiske	US 1	23.8	13.9	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	47.7	
262	263	Burkholm/Cushman/Rich	US 1	US 1	3.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	
121	114	Canaveral Groves/Lee/Pine	Grissom	Grissom	25.8	21.9	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.7	
215	217	Canaveral Groves	Grissom	US 1	16.2	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.1	
243	242	Carpenter	Fox Lake	Garden	7.5	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.2	
221	218	Carpenter	Garden	Dairy	12.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	
238	237	Carpenter	Dairy	SR 46	13.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	
248	247	Central	SR A1A	Ridgewood	2.3	4.3	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	11.6	
231	230	Clearlake	Pluckebaum	SR 520	12.2	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.4	
139	150	Clearlake	SR 520	.1 mi N of Michigan	20.2	12.7	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	45.4	
91	85	Clearlake	.1 mi N of Michigan	SR 524	34.8	23.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.3	
225	225	Cone	S. Tropical	Plumosa	14.1	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.1	
212	213	Cox	SR 520	SR 524	12.1	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.2	
244	245	Cox	SR 524	James	6.5	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.5	
141	137	Croton	Sarno	Eau Gallie	19.5	13.3	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	45.3	
199	196	Croton	Eau Gallie	Aurora	18.3	9.1	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.9	
206	209	Croton	Aurora	Lk Washington	14.8	10.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.6	Widen to 4 lanes
186	192	Croton	Lk Washington	Post	18.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.0	TCM Strategies
217	215	Dairy (North)	Carpenter	US 1	14.0	10.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.6	
125	122	Dairy (South)	Palm Bay	US 192	19.3	27.9	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.7	
163	165	Dairy (Woody Burke)	US 192	NASA	20.0	17.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.3	
200	194	Dixon	Clearlake	US 1	16.2	5.7	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.3	
84	92	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	I-95	Wickham	23.6	17.8	5.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	61.5	
114	104	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	Wickham	Croton	22.7	13.8	2.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	54.0	
75	89	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	Croton	US 1	23.9	12.6	2.5	5.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	64.0	
49	54	Eau Gallie (Eastbound)	US 1	Causeway	33.5	15.4	2.5	5.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	71.4	
55	63	Eau Gallie (Westbound)	Causeway	US 1	31.7	15.4	2.5	5.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	69.7	
85	76	Eau Gallie Causeway	West End	SR 513	24.2	14.8	7.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	61.4	
72	71	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	SR 513	SR A1A	26.8	12.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	64.5	
130	146	Eber	Minton	Dairy	19.2	23.6	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	47.8	
172	184	Ellis	Wickham	John Rodas	21.5	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	36.4	Widen to 4 lanes
118	107	Emerson	Jupiter	Minton	26.1	21.7	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.8	
149	151	Emerson	Minton	Americana	20.3	22.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.8	
201	177	Emerson	Americana	Malabar	13.2	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	
161	155	Evans	US 192	NASA	22.3	12.7	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	
188	226	Eyster	Fiske	Murrell	21.0	6.8	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	32.7	
164	198	Eyster	Murrell	US 1	16.3	13.5	2.5	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	37.2	
140	148	Fay	West End	Grissom	26.4	16.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.4	

**Appendix D
Score and LRTP Strategy by Roadway**

1999 Rank	1998 Rank	Segment	From	To	Existing Volume/MAV	Future (E+C) Volume/MAV	Existing Volume	Intermodal/Economic	Safety	Hurricane	Prior Funding	Total	LRTP Strategy
220	224	Fay	Grissom	Carole	14.1	6.7	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.3	
209	211	Fay	Carole	US 1	17.6	6.7	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.8	
128	127	Fiske (SR 519)	I-95/Barnes	Barton	18.7	22.6	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.9	
83	86	Fiske (SR 519)	Barton	SR 520	27.2	24.3	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.5	
82	69	Fiske (SR 519)	SR 520	Dixon	29.2	15.1	2.5	5.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	61.8	
260	262	Fleming Grant/Main	Micco	US 1	5.1	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	
93	135	Florida (Rockledge/Coc)	US 1	SR 520	31.7	15.4	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	59.6	
204	202	Forrest	SR 520	US 1	18.8	4.5	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	28.3	
207	212	Fortenberry	S. Courtenay	Sykes Creek	17.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	
230	239	Fox Lake	Carpenter	South	8.3	7.4	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	20.7	
251	250	Friday	SR 520	SR 524	4.6	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5	
250	249	Friday/James	SR 524	Cox	8.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8	
146	154	Garden (SR 406)	I-95	US 1	17.2	9.2	2.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	43.9	
182	179	George King/Dave Nisb	SR A1A	N Atlantic	19.8	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	34.5	
257	259	Grant	Babcock	Old Dixie	6.5	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	
154	139	Grissom	Industry	Canaveral Groves	22.1	9.7	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.3	
126	119	Grissom	Canaveral Groves	Fay	25.2	17.0	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.6	
111	120	Grissom	Fay	Kings	26.5	15.3	2.5	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	54.3	
94	115/189*	Grissom	Kings	SR 405	26.0	25.5	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.0	
258	252	Harlock/Aurora	John Rodes	Lake Washington	2.3	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	
106	147	Harrison	Barna	Hopkins	26.1	12.3	2.5	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	55.8	
176	199	Hibiscus	Evans	Airport	18.2	10.1	2.5	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	35.8	
152	144	Hibiscus	Airport	Babcock	18.2	11.0	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	
157	178	Hibiscus	Babcock	US 1	16.3	9.8	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	38.6	
252	253	Holder	Dairy	SR 46	5.4	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.6	
127	108	Hollywood	Palm Bay	Eber	30.7	16.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.5	
122	91	Hollywood	Eber	US 192	28.2	21.8	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.4	TCM Strategies
144	138	Hopkins	SR 50	Jackson	22.9	16.1	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	44.1	
174	210	Hopkins	Jackson	Grace/US 1	13.0	8.1	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	36.1	
184	167	Industry	SR 524	Grissom	17.3	14.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.3	
137	131	John Rodes	US 192	Eau Gallie	26.8	17.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.9	
112	113	John Rodes	Eau Gallie	Aurora	31.5	20.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.2	
235	235	Kings Highway	Grissom	US 1	12.0	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.7	
255	257	La Grange	Old Dixie	US 1	6.8	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	
208	208	Lake	Cox	SR 520	11.4	10.5	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	26.9	
242	243	Lake Washington	West End	Harlock	4.9	4.7	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	14.5	
254	254	Lake Washington	Harlock	Turtlemound	7.5	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.2	
194	174	Lake Washington	Turtlemound	Wickham	23.3	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.9	
218	222	Lake Washington	Wickham	Croton	15.8	5.7	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.9	
236	231	Lake Washington	Croton	US 1	12.7	3.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.5	
240	255	Lucas	N. Tropical	N. Courtenay	8.9	1.1	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	

**Appendix D
Score and LRTP Strategy by Roadway**

1999 Rank	1998 Rank	Segment	From	To	Existing Volume/MAV	Future (E+C) Volume/MAV	Existing Volume	Intermodal/Economic	Safety	Hurricane	Prior Funding	Total	LRTP Strategy
179	162	Max Brewer Causeway	US 1	Playalinda Beach	14.3	10.8	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	35.1	
165	170	Malabar	Jupiter	Minton	11.4	25.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.9	Widen to 4 lanes
135	182	Malabar	Minton	W. Curvet	18.4	11.4	2.5	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	47.3	Widen to 4 lanes
60	68	Malabar	W. Curvet	I-95	25.3	26.0	7.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	68.8	
74	81	Malabar	I-95	Babcock	20.5	23.7	5.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	0.0	64.1	
104	111	Malabar	Babcock	US 1	24.6	24.1	2.5	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	56.2	
224	204	Melbourne	Babcock	Waverly	21.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.3	
237	234	Merrit	N. Tropical	N. Courtenay	8.5	4.2	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	17.6	
177	181	Merrit	N. Courtenay	Sykes Creek	22.6	10.4	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.5	
197	200	Micco	Babcock	Fleming Grant	5.0	25.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.2	
160	153	Micco	Fleming Grant	US 1	18.9	18.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.8	TCM Strategies
156	156	Michigan	Range	Clearlake	11.2	27.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.7	
229	229	Michigan	Clearlake	US 1	14.7	3.8	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.9	
61	97	Minton	Malabar	Palm Bay	29.1	18.8	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	67.9	Widen to 6 lanes
48	47	Minton	Palm Bay	US 192	32.4	29.4	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.9	Widen to 6 lanes
73	124	Murrell	Wickham	Viera	30.5	26.4	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.4	
103	121	Murrell	Viera	Barnes	27.7	11.1	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	56.3	Widen to 4 lanes
151	157	Murrell	Barnes	Eyster	19.2	15.1	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.8	
232	232	Murrell	Eyster	Barton	7.9	7.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.9	
210	207	N. Atlantic	SR A1A	George King	19.9	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.8	
166	166	N. Banana River	SR 520	Audubon	20.1	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	36.9	
101	93	N. Banana River	Audubon	SR 528	30.5	14.8	2.5	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	57.8	
13	13	N. Courtenay	SR 520	SR 528	37.7	19.4	7.5	5.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	89.6	TCM Strategies
98	94	N. Courtenay	SR 528	KSC	20.7	20.1	2.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	58.3	
159	160	N. Tropical	SR 520	Lucas	19.4	18.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.0	
233	238	N. Tropical	Lucas	N. Courtenay	8.6	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.3	
181	145	NASA	Wickham	Eddie Allen	18.5	13.8	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	
108	100	NASA	Eddie Allen	Babcock	19.0	23.7	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	55.2	
205	195	NASA	Babcock	US 1	16.3	9.2	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.1	
129	130	NASA Causeway	US 1	KSC Gate	15.5	10.0	2.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	47.9	
227	214	New Haven	Strawbridge	US 1	20.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.3	
193	190	Oak	SR A1A	Ocean	7.3	8.9	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	31.2	
246	246	Ocean Beach	SR 520	Young	2.7	4.6	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	12.3	
247	248	Old Dixie Highway	Garden	Dairy	6.3	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	
261	261	Old Dixie Highway	Dairy	Parker	4.7	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	
22	32	Palm Bay	Minton	I-95	35.6	26.0	7.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	84.1	Widen to 6 lanes
23	20	Palm Bay	I-95	Babcock	35.5	25.9	7.5	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	83.9	Widen to 6 lanes
15	24	Palm Bay	Babcock	Lipscomb	34.6	26.0	7.5	0.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	88.1	Widen to 6 lanes
57	66	Palm Bay	Lipscomb	RJ Conlan	21.3	22.8	5.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	69.1	Widen to 6 lanes
79	87	Park	Barna	South	27.0	20.3	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	3.0	62.7	TCM Strategies
117	106	Park	South	Garden	29.4	21.4	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.3	TCM Strategies

**Appendix D
Score and LRTP Strategy by Roadway**

1999 Rank	1998 Rank	Segment	From	To	Existing Volume/MAV	Future (E+C) Volume/MAV	Existing Volume	Intermodal/Economic	Safety	Hurricane	Prior Funding	Total	LRTP Strategy
234	233	Parkway	Wickham	US 1	12.1	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.2	
259	260	Parrish	Holder	US 1	2.7	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	
31	28	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	Wickham	US 1	37.3	23.3	5.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	80.5	Widen to 4 lanes
124	105	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	US 1	S. Tropical	16.8	11.2	7.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	50.5	
142	123	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	S. Tropical	S. Patrick (SR 513)	15.4	8.9	5.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	44.3	
191	164	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	S. Patrick (SR 513)	SR A1A	7.8	6.0	2.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	31.3	
203	206	Plumosa	Cone	Merritt	16.2	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.8	
213	205	Port Malabar	Babcock	US 1	12.8	10.1	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.4	
133	134	Post	Pinecone	Wickham	21.9	25.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.5	
173	152	Post	Wickham	US 1	23.2	8.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	36.2	
223	236	Range	SR 520	Michigan	9.0	8.4	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	22.4	
241	241	Ridgewood	Young	Central	3.1	6.8	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	14.9	
80	78	Riverside	US 192	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	31.4	18.6	2.5	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	62.5	TCM Strategies
253	256	Rosetine	Range	Clearlake	7.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4	
88	84	S. Courtenay	S. Tropical	Cone	29.6	13.9	2.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	61.0	
96	102	S. Courtenay	Cone	SR 520	22.5	8.5	2.5	5.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	58.5	
97	90	S. Patrick (SR 513)	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	Banana River	25.8	12.6	5.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	58.4	
78	44	S. Patrick (SR 513)	Banana River	DeSoto	31.1	14.5	2.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	63.1	
62	60	S. Patrick (SR 513)	DeSoto	Jackson	30.1	15.0	2.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	67.6	TCM Strategies
59	59	S. Patrick (SR 513)	Jackson	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	31.6	14.6	2.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	68.8	TCM Strategies
168	163	S. Tropical Trail	S. Patrick	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	7.4	14.4	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	36.8	
120	117	S. Tropical Trail	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	S. Courtenay	20.6	17.1	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	52.7	
216	216	S. Tropical Trail	S. Courtenay	SR 520	11.4	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	24.8	
71	61	San Filippo	Jupiter	Malabar	38.2	13.8	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	64.5	
99	103	Samo	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	Wickham	37.8	17.9	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.2	TCM Strategies
110	132	Samo	Wickham	Croton	26.0	13.4	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	54.4	
69	72	Samo	Croton	Apollo	32.3	18.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	65.8	
136	133	Samo	Apollo	US 1	26.1	8.5	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	47.1	
169	168	Singleton	South	Garden	17.5	14.3	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	
180	175	Singleton	Garden	Dairy	22.5	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.1	
245	244	Singleton	Dairy	SR 46	4.8	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	
214	221	Sisson	SR 405	SR 50	13.5	11.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.1	
189	183	SR 401	SR 528	CCAFS	14.3	5.5	2.5	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	32.3	
113	109	Columbia (SR 405)	SR 50	SR 407	19.6	12.1	2.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	54.2	
116	112	Columbia (SR 405)	SR 407	US 1	20.6	10.8	2.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	53.9	
107	110	South (SR 405)	SR 50	0.1 mile W. of Collins	34.3	13.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	55.3	
219	220	South (SR 405)	0.1 mile W. of Collins	US 1	7.9	5.9	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	23.8	
92	126	SR 407	SR 528	I-95	25.0	12.2	7.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	59.6	
115	101	SR 407	I-95	SR 405	17.1	19.3	2.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	53.9	
34	25	SR 46	Volusia County	I-95	24.4	27.2	2.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	79.1	TCM Strategies
143	140	SR 46	I-95	US 1	20.9	8.2	0.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	44.1	

**Appendix D
Score and LRTP Strategy by Roadway**

1999 Rank	1998 Rank	Segment	From	To	Existing Volume/MAV	Future (E+C) Volume/MAV	Existing Volume	Intermodal/Economic	Safety	Hurricane	Prior Funding	Total	LRTP Strategy
134	125	SR 50	Orange County	I-95	10.9	14.0	2.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	47.5	
14	18	SR 50	I-95	SR 405	31.5	21.8	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	88.4	Widen to 6 lanes
138	149	SR 50	SR 405	US 1	23.4	12.3	5.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	45.7	
8	1	SR 520	Orange County	SR 524	43.7	8.8	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	92.6	Widen to 4 lanes
39	26	SR 520	SR 524	I-95	31.8	11.5	2.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	75.8	Widen to 4 lanes
68	70	SR 520	I-95	Clearlake	23.3	17.6	5.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	65.9	
26	19	SR 520	Clearlake	Fiske	27.8	19.8	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	82.6	
18	8	SR 520	Fiske	US 1	30.6	19.7	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	85.3	TCM Strategies
6	21	SR 520 (Eastbound)	US 1	Causeway	38.5	21.2	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	94.7	
5	12	SR 520 (Westbound)	Causeway	US 1	39.3	21.4	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	95.7	TCM Strategies
11	15	SR 520		Humphrey Bridge	39.0	21.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	90.0	Widen to 6 lanes
12	22	SR 520	E. End of Humphrey Bridge	SR 3	32.5	17.4	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	89.9	
36	40	SR 520	SR 3	Sykes Creek	29.3	15.7	7.5	10.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	77.5	
41	41	SR 520	Sykes Creek	S. Banana River	27.7	14.8	7.5	10.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	75.0	
109	98	SR 520 Causeway	S. Banana River	E. Relief Bridge	18.6	11.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	54.6	
33	33	SR 520	E. Relief Bridge	SR A1A	26.2	18.1	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	79.2	
198	193	SR 524	SR 520	I-95	10.2	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	30.2	
123	118	SR 524	I-95	SR 528	26.2	18.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	51.7	
89	75	SR A1A	Indian River County	Melbourne Shores	16.7	24.1	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	60.8	
90	83	SR A1A	Melbourne Shores	Mar-Len	21.9	18.9	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	60.8	
42	35	SR A1A	Mar-Len	US 192	33.3	19.2	2.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	74.9	TCM Strategies
54	52	SR A1A	US 192	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	26.9	18.3	5.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	70.2	
51	45	SR A1A	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	27.0	18.7	5.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	70.7	
66	46	SR A1A	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	S. One Way Split	20.9	20.5	2.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	3.0	66.9	TCM Strategies
70	64	SR A1A (Northbound)	S. Split	N. Split	22.9	17.2	2.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	3.0	65.6	
63	62	SR A1A (Southbound)	N. Split	S. Split	24.7	17.2	2.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	3.0	67.4	
9	6	SR A1A	N. Split	SR 520	33.7	22.3	7.5	10.0	5.0	10.0	3.0	91.6	TCM Strategies
4	4	SR A1A	SR 520	N. Atlantic	37.4	20.5	7.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	3.0	98.4	TCM Strategies
19	10	SR A1A	N. Atlantic	SR 401	32.2	19.9	5.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	3.0	85.1	
148	143	Stadium/Jamieson	Wickham	Fiske	5.6	32.6	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.2	
239	240	Stuck Way	I-95	US 1	4.6	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.7	
162	172	Suntree	Wickham	US 1	17.4	17.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	Widen to 4 lanes
249	251	Sykes Creek	Fortenberry	SR 520	10.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.4	
183	180	Sykes Creek	SR 520	Merritt	15.7	6.2	2.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	34.4	
192	187	Turtlemound	Aurora	Lake Washington	21.6	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.3	
226	228	Turtlemound	Lake Washington	Post	16.5	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.4	
187	185	University	Babcock	US 1	11.0	11.8	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	32.8	
150	141	US 1	Indian River County	Valkaria	14.5	10.5	2.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	42.5	
145	136	US 1	Valkaria	Malabar (SR 514)	13.2	13.3	2.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	44.0	
87	79	US 1	Malabar (SR 514)	RJ Conlan	21.4	22.1	2.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	61.0	
52	42	US 1	RJ Conlan	University	22.7	22.8	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	70.5	

**Appendix D
Score and LRTP Strategy by Roadway**

1999 Rank	1998 Rank	Segment	From	To	Existing Volume/MAV	Future (E+C) Volume/MAV	Existing Volume	Intermodal/Economic	Safety	Hurricane	Prior Funding	Total	LRTP Strategy
67	50	US 1	University	US 192	20.7	20.3	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	66.0	TCM Strategies
58	56	US 1	US 192	NASA	25.9	15.7	7.5	10.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	69.1	
95	88	US 1	NASA	Ballard	24.0	12.1	7.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	58.6	
10	9	US 1	Ballard	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	37.0	23.5	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	90.5	TCM Strategies
29	27	US 1	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	Aurora	28.8	20.0	7.5	10.0	10.0	5.0	0.0	81.3	TCM Strategies
16	36	US 1	Aurora	Post	32.0	18.2	7.5	10.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	87.7	Widen to 6 lanes
25	57	US 1	Post	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	31.1	19.4	7.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	82.9	Widen to 6 lanes
38	48	US 1	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	Barnes	28.1	25.4	7.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	76.0	
65	67	US 1	Barnes	Eyster	23.8	18.2	5.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	67.0	Widen to 6 lanes
1	2	US 1	Eyster	Florida	44.1	32.2	7.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	103.8	Widen to 6 lanes
3	3	US 1	Florida	SR 520	38.5	28.2	7.5	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	99.1	Widen to 6 lanes
30	30	US 1	SR 520	Forrest	30.9	19.8	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	80.7	Widen to 6 lanes
7	7	US 1	Forrest	SR 528	39.2	26.6	7.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	93.2	Widen to 6 lanes
37	37	US 1	SR 528	Fay	29.1	19.5	7.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	76.1	
77	74	US 1	Fay	SR 405	24.4	19.5	5.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	63.9	
86	82	US 1	SR 405	SR 50	23.6	17.8	5.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	61.4	
45	49	US 1	SR 50	Grace	32.3	15.4	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	72.7	
102	95	US 1 (Northbound)	Grace	Marina	27.3	12.3	2.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	57.1	
100	96	US 1 (Southbound)	Marina	Grace	28.0	12.6	2.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	58.2	
105	99	US 1	Marina	SR 46	22.4	15.9	2.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	55.9	
190	186	US 1	SR 46	Aurantia	9.0	8.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	32.0	
222	223	US 1	Aurantia	Volusia County	4.2	3.3	0.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	22.6	
28	11	US 192	Osceola County	I-95	34.2	9.8	7.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	81.5	Widen to 4 lanes
27	17	US 192	I-95	Wickham	28.3	24.1	5.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	82.3	
2	5	US 192	Wickham	Babcock	36.5	25.1	7.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	99.2	
56	55	US 192	Babcock	US 1	23.4	13.5	2.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	69.4	
47	34	US 192 Causeway	US 1	Riverside	24.5	20.0	7.5	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	72.0	TCM Strategies
46	38	US 192	Riverside	SR A1A	23.9	18.7	5.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	72.6	TCM Strategies
132	129	Valkaria	Babcock	Leghorn	10.2	37.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.6	
228	227	Valkaria	Leghorn	US 1	5.1	16.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.3	
256	258	Viera	Murrell	US 1	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	
35	53	Wickham	US 192	NASA	33.5	23.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	7.0	78.9	Widen to 6 lanes
17	16	Wickham	NASA	Sarno	43.5	26.2	7.5	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	87.3	Widen to 6 lanes
32	31	Wickham	Sarno	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	43.1	18.9	7.5	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	79.5	Widen to 6 lanes
20	29	Wickham	Eau Gallie (SR 518)	Lake Washington	40.7	21.7	7.5	5.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	84.9	Widen to 6 lanes
53	58	Wickham	Lake Washington	Post	37.9	19.9	7.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.3	
50	51	Wickham	Post	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	33.8	27.4	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.2	TCM Strategies
40	43	Wickham	Pineda Causeway (SR 404)	I-95	31.0	24.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	75.0	
81	80	Wickham	I-95	Lake Andrew	7.9	44.5	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	62.4	

*Note: At the request of MPO staff, this segment was combined from two separate segments in the 1998/99 SOS Report.